

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.
---

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

WESSAM KADRI

Defendant and Appellant.

B271152

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. MA062514)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,  
Charles A. Chung, Judge. Affirmed.

Wessam Kadri, in pro. per.; and Meredith J. Watts, under appointment  
by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

On January 12, 2014, in the city of Lancaster, Wessam Kadri surprise-punched Reynaldo Vasquez in the face, knocking him unconscious. When Vasquez regained consciousness, he discovered his leg was newly broken at the ankle.

Kadri who suffers from bi-polar disorder but manages well when following his medication regimen, according to psychiatrists, pleaded no contest to battery resulting in serious bodily injury. The trial court sentenced him to time served (61 days), placed him on five years probation, ordered that he participate in anger management counseling, and ordered him to pay a \$300 restitution fine, a \$40 court operations assessment, and a \$30 criminal conviction assessment. The court also ordered Kadri to stay away from the victim and another individual. Kadri thereafter sought and obtained a certificate of probable cause, and now appeals.

We appointed counsel to represent Kadri on appeal, and after examination of the record counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to review the record independently. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) On August 25, 2016, we sent letters to Kadri and appointed counsel, directing counsel to forward the appellate record to Kadri and advising him that within 30 days he could personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished us to consider. Kadri filed a supplemental brief on October 31, 2016.

Kadri contends his public defender was replaced without his consent, his new attorney provided ineffective assistance, and several of his rights have been violated by various officials and public entities.

We have independently examined the entire record and find no support for Kadri's complaints and no plausible basis for appeal, and are therefore satisfied that his attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities.

(*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110.)

**DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

CHANEY, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, P. J.

JOHNSON, J.